Exhibit F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VANGUARD IDENTIFICATION

: CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-2943

SYSTEMS, INC.

v.

: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

: September 24, 2004 : 10:04 o'clock a.m.

RONNIE E. GOADE, SR., Individually and as Trustee : for the Ronnie E. Goade, Sr. : Revocable Trust, et al

JURY TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN P. FULLAM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: GEORGE BOCHETTO, ESQUIRE

Bochetto & Lentz, PC 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

For the Defendants: MARC L. ZAKEN, ESQUIRE

Edwards & Angell, LLP

Three Stanford Plaza, Suite 1310

Stanford, CT 06901

John Stasny Audio Operator:

Paula Curran, CET Transcribed by:

Tracey Williams, CET Grace Williams, CET

(Proceedings recorded by For The Record Gold digital sound recording; transcript provided by AAERT-certified transcribers.)



Laws Transcription Service 48 W. La Crosse Avenue Lansdowne, PA 19050 (610) 623-4178

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Geraldine C. Laws, CET Laws Transcription Service Date

2 (The following occurred in open court at 10:04 1 2 o'clock a.m.) 3 THE CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: Good morning. 4 5 ALL: Good morning. 6 THE COURT: Be seated. Proceed. 7 RONALD GOADE, Defendants' Witness, Previously Sworn. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ZAKEN: 9 10 Mr. Goade, I'd just like to put you in the time period when you were working for DocuSystems. In that time period, 11 12 did Docusystems manufacture any products under the SSI label 13 out of the plant that DocuSystems had in Nashville? 14 Yes, they did. 15 Okay, could you explain to the jury what DocuSystems had 16 done with respect to manufacturing SSI product in the 17 Nashville plant? 18 They had rotary presses there, which means that they were able to run them faster and better, unfortunately. As I may 19 20 have explained earlier, running rotary presses doesn't truly 21 give you the same quality that you would get from the Heilendberg (ph) press that we used. 22 23 THE COURT: Please get close to the microphone. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, your Honor. I tried to

test this. So, the Heilendberg press that we used was a

Goade - Direct 3 sheet bed operation and it gave us about a good a quality as 1 you could expect. And that was one substantial difference. 2 All right. And in the Nashville plant, were products for 3 customers of SSI or Stick Strip being made out of the 4 Nashville plant? 5 Substantial amount, yes. 6 Okay. And what customers was DocuSystems selling product 7 to under the SSI label, out of the Nashville plant? 8 They were selling all types of cards, primarily phone 9 cards. A lot of those. What would be considered, I think, 10 low-end type plastic cards, transaction cards of some type. A 11 12 lot of cards for Las Vegas casinos, things of that nature. Okay. And do you have a sense of the volume of business 13 that was being conducted by DocuSystems through -- on behalf 14 of the Stick Strip Laminating Company out of the Nashville 15 16 plant? 17 It was a substantial amount. I can tell you that it was, I don't know, eight to ten million dollars perhaps. 18 19 All right. 20 It was a lot. Now, had these products, when you owned Stick Strip 21 22 Laminating Company, before you sold the company to DocuSystems, were you making these products out of the plant 23

25 A Yes, we were.

in Oklahoma?

Goade - Direct And were you selling to these customers out of the plant 1 2 in Oklahoma? Well, we were selling to similar customers. I mean, the 3 customer base is not someone who just buys from you one time 4 and stays with you forever. So, one customer may buy cards 5 in a certain year and they may not buy again for three or 6 7 four years. Okay, so did DocuSystems move the production of the cards 8 that you had made at Stick Strip, these types of cards that 9 you're describing, had DocuSystems moved the production of 10 those cards to it's plant in Nashville? 11 12 The larger volumes, yes. A Meaning the --13 0 The large orders. 14 Okay. 15 Q The substantial orders were all moved to DocuSystems in 16 their plant in Nashville. 17 And was DocuSystems using equipment and I think you 18 answered this already, but DocuSystems was using equipment 19 different in Nashville than you used in Oklahoma? 20 21 Α Yes. THE COURT: While you're on that subject, did you 22 have anything to do with supervising the work that was going 23 on in Nashville or did you stay only in Oklahoma. 24

THE WITNESS: I attempted to, they actually invited

Goade - Direct 5 me there one time, your Honor and asked if I would be able to 1 give some assistance in some problems they were having with a 2 specific job. 3 THE COURT: Did you go there much? 4 THE WITNESS: I went there maybe twice during that 5 period of time, that's all. 6 All right. And the Nashville plant, I think you said 7 yesterday was where the DocuSystems had decided to use the T2 8 product? 9 Well, they were using T2 in both facilities. 10 Oklahoma facility, as well as in Nashville. 11 12 All right. And the T2, again, was? It was an inexpensive substrate that was produced in 13 Korea, that they intended to use to replace Taslan. 14 15 Now, had you used T2 when you owned Stick Strip Laminating Company? 16 17 No. Never heard of it. When you purchased -- withdrawn -- at the time you left 18 DocuSystems, what sub-strait was DocuSystems using on its 19 20 cards produced in the plant in Oklahoma? Well, they are still attempting to use T2. When they got 21 into problems, they would switch back over to Taslan. So, it 22 was really a mixture at that time. They were having complete 23 failure with the T2 materials. 24 And I think you may have covered this already, but 25

Goade - Direct 6 briefly, with respect to your involvement in the manufacture 1 2 of product in Oklahoma, after you sold the company to DocuSystems, what involvement did you have with that? 3 I had none. In the actual manufacturing product after I 4 sold the company? 5 6 Q Right. No, I was not involved. 7 Were you involved in that before you sold the company? 8 0 Yes, on a day to day basis almost. Α 9 So, what I'd like to do now is turn your attention 10 to the time period when you bought the assets of Stick Strip 11 Laminating Company in July of 2000. Now, at that time, did 12 you buy any of the assets that were at the Nashville plant in 13 Tennessee that had been used as you just described to 14 15 manufacture SSI product in Nashville? Yes, I did. Some of the assets that had actually 16 belonged to SSI when I had bought the company, had been 17 transferred to Nashville. And it was there in their facility 18 when I bought the company. 19 Okay, was there equipment in Nashville that was used to 20 product Stick Strip product out of the Nashville plant that 21 you did not buy when you bought the assets of Stick Strip? 22

I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.

I'll try it again. 24 Q

> Α Excuse me.

23

Goade - Direct 7 THE COURT: Was there any equipment in Nashville 1 2 that was used to manufacture your product that you did not buy when you bought the assets? 3 These rotary presses --4 I did not buy those. 5 6 Well, that's what I'm asking. 7 Yeah, I'm sorry. Α Okay. So, you -- just to be clear on this -- you 8 mentioned that in the Nashville plant, DocuSystems was using 9 rotary presses to manufacture these large volume card orders 10 11 that were being sold under the Stick Strip label, right? 12 That's correct. All right. Did you buy any of those rotary presses? 13 14 I did not. Okay. So, when you bought the assets of Stick Strip 15 16 Laminating Company, there were some things you said that were 17 in -- that had been in Oklahoma, that got transferred to 18 Nashville, that got transferred back to Oklahoma when you 19 bought the assets? They didn't get transferred back. They were sent to 20 Nashville and then when we tried to recover them, they were 21 22 not there. Okay, so you're going to have to explain that because you 23 lost me. 24

I'm sorry. Well, when we bought the assets back, I had a

8

substantial amount of -- when I sold the company, we had a
substantial amount of equipment that was used for
manufacturing. During the period of time that DocuSystems
owned Stick Strip, they transferred some manufacturing
material to Nashville. When I bought the assets of the
company and came back in, I tried to recover that, but it was

8 Q Okay.

not available.

- 9 A So, there was things missing there that I had owned 10 previously.
- 11 | Q Okay. And what were the things that were missing?
- 12 A There was magnetic striping coding equipment and there
- was punch press equipment. There was a lot of R&D things.
- 14 Just general things used for the manufacturing business.
- 15 | O And R&D means?
- 16 A Research and Development.
- Q All right. Now, when you bought the assets of Stick
 Strip and you came back to the plant in Oklahoma, on your
 first day or days there, were there things there that you
 expected to be there, that you had purchased, that weren't?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q What?
- A Well, there was a substantial amount of computers and software missing that had been there just days before, according to all of the employees. And that was all missing.

- 1 | didn't really spell out the individual pieces and what they
- 2 | did. So, we were forced to go back in and rewrite some of
- 3 | the software.
- 4 | Q Okay, so now, with respect to you mentioned there was
- 5 product that was being sold under the Stick Strip label out
- 6 of the Nashville plant?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q With respect to the customers who had made orders for
- 9 | those products, at the time that you bought the assets of
- 10 Stick Strip, what happened to those orders?
- 11 | A Well, I thought that they would stay with Stick Strip or
- 12 . I thought they would stay with the new company. But they
- 13 were not. When they sold the DocuSystems plant, they sold it
- 14 | to a company called Magnetic Tape and Label in Dallas. And
- 15 he took all that business.
- 16 Q Okay, just want to be clear on what the business is
- 17 | you're talking about.
- 18 A The business, he felt like any product that was produced
- in Nashville, that he was entitled to retain that customer.
- 20 Q Okay, so are you saying then that the customers that were
- 21 buying Stick Strip product out of Nashville, went with this
- 22 | Texas company?
- 23 A That's what I'm saying, yes.
- 24 Q You thought they should go with you?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 | O And who did you have that discussion with?
- 2 A I called Hugh Wilder and made this complaint. I said,
- 3 you know, there's a lot of business that I thought we would
- 4 retain because we had owned that business previously. And he
- 5 said, well, Magnetic Tape and Label has purchased
- 6 DocuSystems. It was being ran there. There was nothing I
- 7 | could do, so I really didn't have any place to go to argue
- 8 | the point.
- 9 Q And is that the eight to ten million dollars worth of
- 10 business that you were talking about?
- 11 A That was a substantial amount. I can't tell you what the
- 12 amount was, but it was substantial.
- 13 Q And Hugh Wilder, again, was who?
- 14 A He was the, at that time, a vice president, executive
- 15 | vice president -- if I'm correct -- with Heller.
- 16 Q And Heller was the one, the bank that was selling you
- 17 | back the assets?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q Hugh Wilder is the guy whose videotape we watched
- 20 | yesterday?
- 21 | A That is correct.
- 22 | Q All right. So, when you came back into the plant, I
- 23 think you started to describe it, at the close yesterday, you
- 24 | started to describe the disrepair of the plant. Can you tell
- 25 us about that again?

Well, they had not had the money or the desire, I don't 1 know which one. So, to repair some of the equipment, they 2 would take identical pieces of equipment and they would rob 3 parts off of one and put it in the other. So, in a sense, 4 they were taking two pieces of equipment and trying to make 5 on that was operational out of it. Hardly any of the 6 equipment had been maintained. And this type of equipment is 7 -- it's pretty scientific in some ways, such as magnetic 8 striping and coding, so if you don't keep it tuned perfectly, 9 it can go sideways, if you will, in a hurry. Same thing on 10 applying labels and things of that nature. The things that 11 we were doing a lot of at that time. The equipment was in 12 disarray. Had not been repaired. Had not been maintained 13 and it was causing a lot of problems. 14 So, now you mentioned about the computers and the 15 disrepair and these other problems, the work that had been 16 done out of Nashville that didn't go with your acquisition. 17 What did you do? What steps did you take to get your new 18 company, SSI Technologies up and running? 19 Well, the first thing we did, obviously, is come in and 20 we looked at everything and tried to make an analysis of what 21 we saw and what is it going to take to get us back in 22 business. Because it was obvious, at that point, it would 23 have been very difficult for us to build a quality product 24

based on what we had actually purchased in the asset

purchase.

- Q So, we started spending a lot of money in buying new equipment and developing new types of equipment to get back in there to be able to produce the same type of materials.
- Q Okay. And what did you do, did you buy an T2 in this acquisition?
- 7 | A No, no.
- 8 Q So, what did you use for material when you started?
- 9 A Well, we used Taslan. We had a problem in getting the
 10 Taslan, obviously, but there was some Taslan there. But we
 11 used what we had.
 - Q All right. Now, with respect to -- and what was the volume of the business, the orders that you were able to produce in the early days of your starting SSI Technologies?
 - A Well, we actually started out, there was some ongoing business that was in there and we actually started out fairly well. We were trying to produce the business, but the numbers were dropping off in a hurry, of course, and frankly, our competitors were really -- they were really -- I don't know if you'd say slandering or not, but they were coming after us pretty hard. Telling them that we were a bankrupt company and so on and so forth. And they had had so many problems in the past, so it was difficult to maintain the business. Plus, we didn't have any sales people left.
 - Q And so you had to hire sales people?

8

substantial amount of -- when I sold the company, we had a 1 substantial amount of equipment that was used for 2

- manufacturing. During the period of time that DocuSystems 3 owned Stick Strip, they transferred some manufacturing
- material to Nashville. When I bought the assets of the 5
- company and came back in, I tried to recover that, but it was 6
- 7 not available.
- Okav. 8 0

- So, there was things missing there that I had owned 9 previously. 10
- Okay. And what were the things that were missing? 11
- There was magnetic striping coding equipment and there 12
- was punch press equipment. There was a lot of R&D things. 13
- Just general things used for the manufacturing business. 14
- And R&D means? 15 0
- Research and Development. 16
- All right. Now, when you bought the assets of Stick 17
- Strip and you came back to the plant in Oklahoma, on your 18
- first day or days there, were there things there that you 19
- expected to be there, that you had purchased, that weren't? 20
- Yes. 21 Α
- 22 What? Q
- Well, there was a substantial amount of computers and 23
- software missing that had been there just days before, 24
- according to all of the employees. And that was all missing. 25

9 Goade - Direct A lot of computers. Maybe as many as 30. A substantial 1 amount of software that had been taken. There was also 2 equipment that was gone. There was a lot of things during 3 this transaction period that ended up missing. 4 5 Okay. And did you have to replace those things? Α Yes, we did. 6 7 Did you replace them? Α Yes, we did. 8 What types of things did you buy?] 9 Well, we bought new computers and we bought the things 10 that we needed on a day to day basis of actually operating 11 12 the company. THE COURT: When you bought the assets back, was 13 there a list of exactly what assets you were buying or was it 14 15 just --THE WITNESS: No, there was a list, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: You got everything that was on the list? 17 I did not. THE WITNESS: 18 THE COURT: You did not? 19 20 THE WITNESS: No, sir. THE COURT: Thank you. 21 What was on the list that you didn't get? 22 Q We didn't get a large Prico (ph) press that was valued at 23 over \$100,000. We didn't get the computers that I spoke to 24 And the software was just listed as software, but it 25

- 1 | didn't really spell out the individual pieces and what they
- 2 did. So, we were forced to go back in and rewrite some of
- 3 | the software.
- 4 Q Okay, so now, with respect to you mentioned there was
- 5 product that was being sold under the Stick Strip label out
- 6 of the Nashville plant?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q With respect to the customers who had made orders for
- 9 those products, at the time that you bought the assets of
- 10 Stick Strip, what happened to those orders?
- 11 A Well, I thought that they would stay with Stick Strip or
- 12 I thought they would stay with the new company. But they
- were not. When they sold the DocuSystems plant, they sold it
- 14 to a company called Magnetic Tape and Label in Dallas. And
- 15 he took all that business.
- 16 Q Okay, just want to be clear on what the business is
- 17 | you're talking about.
- 18 A The business, he felt like any product that was produced
- 19 | in Nashville, that he was entitled to retain that customer.
- 20 | Q Okay, so are you saying then that the customers that were
- 21 | buying Stick Strip product out of Nashville, went with this
- 22 Texas company?
- 23 A That's what I'm saying, yes.
- 24 | Q You thought they should go with you?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 | Q And who did you have that discussion with?
- 2 | A I called Hugh Wilder and made this complaint. I said,
- 3 | you know, there's a lot of business that I thought we would
- 4 retain because we had owned that business previously. And he
- 5 | said, well, Magnetic Tape and Label has purchased
- 6 DocuSystems. It was being ran there. There was nothing I
- 7 | could do, so I really didn't have any place to go to argue
- 8 | the point.
- 9 Q And is that the eight to ten million dollars worth of
- 10 business that you were talking about?
- 11 A That was a substantial amount. I can't tell you what the
- 12 amount was, but it was substantial.
- 13 | Q And Hugh Wilder, again, was who?
- 14 A He was the, at that time, a vice president, executive
- 15 | vice president -- if I'm correct -- with Heller.
- 16 Q And Heller was the one, the bank that was selling you
- 17 back the assets?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q Hugh Wilder is the guy whose videotape we watched
- 20 | yesterday?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 | Q All right. So, when you came back into the plant, I
- 23 think you started to describe it, at the close yesterday, you
- 24 started to describe the disrepair of the plant. Can you tell
- 25 ' us about that again?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Goade - Direct

Well, they had not had the money or the desire, I don't Α know which one. So, to repair some of the equipment, they would take identical pieces of equipment and they would rob parts off of one and put it in the other. So, in a sense, they were taking two pieces of equipment and trying to make on that was operational out of it. Hardly any of the equipment had been maintained. And this type of equipment is -- it's pretty scientific in some ways, such as magnetic striping and coding, so if you don't keep it tuned perfectly, it can go sideways, if you will, in a hurry. Same thing on applying labels and things of that nature. The things that we were doing a lot of at that time. The equipment was in disarray. Had not been repaired. Had not been maintained and it was causing a lot of problems. So, now you mentioned about the computers and the disrepair and these other problems, the work that had been done out of Nashville that didn't go with your acquisition. What did you do? What steps did you take to get your new company, SSI Technologies up and running? Well, the first thing we did, obviously, is come in and we looked at everything and tried to make an analysis of what we saw and what is it going to take to get us back in business. Because it was obvious, at that point, it would have been very difficult for us to build a quality product

based on what we had actually purchased in the asset

1 purchase.

- So, we started spending a lot of money in buying new 2 equipment and developing new types of equipment to get back 3 in there to be able to produce the same type of materials. 4
- Okay. And what did you do, did you buy an T2 in this 5 acquisition? 6
- 7 Α No, no.

used what we had.

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- So, what did you use for material when you started?
- Well, we used Taslan. We had a problem in getting the 9 Taslan, obviously, but there was some Taslan there. But we 10
- All right. Now, with respect to -- and what was the 12 volume of the business, the orders that you were able to 13 produce in the early days of your starting SSI Technologies?
 - Well, we actually started out, there was some ongoing business that was in there and we actually started out fairly We were trying to produce the business, but the numbers were dropping off in a hurry, of course, and frankly, our competitors were really -- they were really -- I don't know if you'd say slandering or not, but they were coming after us pretty hard. Telling them that we were a bankrupt

company and so on and so forth. And they had had so many

- problems in the past, so it was difficult to maintain the 23
- business. Plus, we didn't have any sales people left. 24 25
 - And so you had to hire sales people?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, they had not had the money or the desire, I don't Α know which one. So, to repair some of the equipment, they would take identical pieces of equipment and they would rob parts off of one and put it in the other. So, in a sense, they were taking two pieces of equipment and trying to make on that was operational out of it. Hardly any of the equipment had been maintained. And this type of equipment is -- it's pretty scientific in some ways, such as magnetic striping and coding, so if you don't keep it tuned perfectly, it can go sideways, if you will, in a hurry. Same thing on applying labels and things of that nature. The things that we were doing a lot of at that time. The equipment was in Had not been repaired. Had not been maintained disarray. and it was causing a lot of problems. So, now you mentioned about the computers and the disrepair and these other problems, the work that had been done out of Nashville that didn't go with your acquisition. What did you do? What steps did you take to get your new company, SSI Technologies up and running? Well, the first thing we did, obviously, is come in and we looked at everything and tried to make an analysis of what we saw and what is it going to take to get us back in business. Because it was obvious, at that point, it would have been very difficult for us to build a quality product

based on what we had actually purchased in the asset

13

1 purchase.

Q So, we started spending a lot of money in buying new equipment and developing new types of equipment to get back in there to be able to produce the same type of materials.

Q Okay. And what did you do, did you buy an T2 in this acquisition?

7 A No, no.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

25

Q So, what did you use for material when you started?

A Well, we used Taslan. We had a problem in getting the Taslan, obviously, but there was some Taslan there. But we

11 used what we had.

Q All right. Now, with respect to -- and what was the volume of the business, the orders that you were able to produce in the early days of your starting SSI Technologies?

A Well, we actually started out, there was some ongoing business that was in there and we actually started out fairly well. We were trying to produce the business, but the numbers were dropping off in a hurry, of course, and frankly, our competitors were really -- they were really -- I don't

20 know if you'd say slandering or not, but they were coming

21 after us pretty hard. Telling them that we were a bankrupt

22 company and so on and so forth. And they had had so many

problems in the past, so it was difficult to maintain the

24 | business. Plus, we didn't have any sales people left.

Q And so you had to hire sales people?

- 9 0 And who was that?
- That was Jeff Bainter. 10

Yes, we did.

We did, yes.

- He was the guy who had been running the place for 11
- 12 DocuSystems?
- 13 Α That's correct.
- 14 And you let him go?
- 15 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

Α

Α

0

Α

- And then, did you have to ramp up with some new employees 16
- 17 or different employees? Well, we had some problems with people, who has a 18
- different mindset, you know, the DocuSystem, their idea of quality was to ship it and if the customer doesn't like it, 20
- then that will be our QC department. So, we had to bring 21
- people back in. I know we brought in about four people, 22
- ex-employees, that had experience in actually quality 23
- control, which was the important thing to us at that point. 24
- I think you had said that one of the first things 25

	Goade - Direct 15
1	DocuSystems did when the bought the company from you was to
2	let go of the quality control people?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q All right. Did you put a lot of money did you put
5	money into the business, into SSI Technologies?
6	A Every dime I had.
7	Q All right, let me show you a chart.
8	MR. BOCHETTO: Your Honor, at this point, I'm going
9	to object to the submission of these things. We did discuss
10	it briefly in pre-trial.
11	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
12	BY MR. ZAKEN:
13	Q This is Defendant's Exhibit 66, your Honor. Mr. Goade,
14	taking a look at Defendant's Exhibit 66, can you tell me what
15	it is?
16	A It's a copy of all of the it says equity summary
17	what it means to me is all the money that we had borrowed on
18	our homes and borrowed on the building and so on, to keep SSI
19	running.
20	THE COURT: You mean, it's a list of what you claim
21	you spent, is that right?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: That's not the money. You said this was
24	what you spent. It's a list of what you spent?
, ,	THE WITNESS. Yes.

Goade - Direct 16 1 MR. ZAKEN: Yes, sir, it is, I'm sorry. 2 Q So, when it says owner's equity summary, who is the owner 3 that it's referring to? SSI Technologies. 4 Α 5 Q No, no, who --Oh, I'm sorry. 6 Α 7 -- who put the money --Okay, oh, I did, yeah. 8 Α -- who put the 6.7 million dollars into the business --9 Q 10 Yes, yes. Α -- is my question. 11 12 I did. Α All right. And it says at the top, July 14, \$2,200,000, 13 14 is that what you put in to buy the assets back? 15 That's correct. Α And then there's these two entries here. One for almost 16 17 \$900,000 and one for \$400,000. Is that the 1.3 million you said you put in shortly after purchasing the assets? 18 19 Α It is, yes. I want to take you down to this proceeds from loan from 20 Edmund Bank secured by mortgage on Arizona property? 21 22 Α Right. Can you tell me what that is? 23 That's the mortgage on our home in Scottsdale. 24 You'd been asked previously about that, so let me ask you 25 Q

17 Goade - Direct about that. After you sold your company, Stick Strip, to 1 DocuSystems, did you have a house built in Arizona? 2 I did. 3 Α And how did you pay for that? 4 I paid cash from the proceeds of the eight million 5 dollars that I received. 6 All right. And that, you now have a mortgage on that 7 house? 8 I do. 9 Α What did you do, you borrowed from a bank on that house? 10 11 Yes. And what did you do with the proceeds of the bank loan 12 that you took? 13 We invested it back into the company. 14 And is that that entry there, that November 2 -- looks 15 like it's November 5, 2002, is that when you did that? 16 THE COURT: November, well, this says June. 17 THE WITNESS: No, it's June 19, 2002. 18 You're right, I'm sorry. 19 Q 20 Right. Α I'm looking a different mortgage. 21 Q Okay. There are some there unfortunately. Α

- 22
- Okay, well, let's talk about that one then. 23 Q
- 24 Α Okay.
- The one on the house in Arizona is June 19, 2002? 25 Q

Goade - Direct 18 That's correct. 1 Α And skipping down to November 5, 2002, there's another 2 mortgage on a different house. What is that? 3 That is a home that we had owned, a lake house in 4 5 Oklahoma, that we had owned for a long time. It had been in our family for many years. 6 And had you owned that free and clear of debt? 7 Q 8 Α Yes. And you mortgaged that? 9 10 We did, yes. Α And what did you do with the proceeds of the mortgage? 11 12 The money went into the company. Do you still have these mortgages on this house in 13 Arizona and this house in Oklahoma? 14 15 We have mortgages on the house in Arizona and two homes in Oklahoma. 16 Do you have any houses that aren't mortgaged? 17 I don't have anything that's not mortgaged. 18 How has the Stick Strip -- I'm sorry, withdrawn -- how 19 has the SSI Technologies business done since you bought the 20 assets and started your new company? 21 It's not done well. I think we finally have turned a 22 corner just in the last few months. But we've lot a lot of 23

Before I get to that, I'm not going to present this to

24

25

money.

(Court in recess; 12:14 to 1:19 o'clock p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

ALL COUNSEL: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Be seated, please. I want to explore with counsel what issues they think remain or could possibly remain to be decided by the jury.

With respect to the Rule 50 motion, I am not going to make any final ruling at this time, my theory being that the jury, having sat here all week, should be allowed to do something, and I propose to submit to them the following issues: Whether Mr. Goade made a misrepre -- or caused a misrepresentation to be made back at the time he was buying the -- or selling the company, and whether the plaintiffs reasonably relied on that representation to their detriment. And I'm going to get a finding as to when that cause of action arose, in other words, then they first knew or, with reasonable effort, should have known that they had been wronged and were likely to suffer damage as a result.

And I suppose we should get some kind of a finding with respect to the successorship issue, although my inclination is to basically say that if they accept Mr.

Goade's testimony as correct there is no successorship, they could find successorship only by rejecting his testimony.

What are the views of counsel on these or any other subjects?

MR. BOCHETTO: Judge, the dispute on the foreclosure is whether it was conducted in good faith.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BOCHETTO: The foreclosure line of cases -
THE COURT: What was the -- what's the evidence of lack of good faith?

MR. BOCHETTO: The evidence of lack of good faith, Judge, was that there were existing better offers at the exact same time, that the reason Mr. Goade's offer was accepted --

THE COURT: How would that have helped you, if this fellow who was in here this morning, Mr. Whitaker of Lucas, if he had been the purchaser, how would that improve your chance of recovering?

MR. BOCHETTO: Well, insofar as that source is concerned, I believe that Mr. Whitaker and his company may then have become the successor as against whom -- again, because these assets were the subject on this line of cases starting with the Chrysler case, your Honor, these assets were the subject of the patent infringement, it was these assets, these operations which caused the patent infringement. And the Chrysler line of cases say that when another company comes along or another individual comes along and buys all of those assets, as a matter of law, it buys it subject to the patent infringement ruling.

THE COURT: Of course, but that does not bind them 1 2 to pay the judgment for royalties that were realized years 3 earlier by somebody else. MR. BOCHETTO: Well, I believe that the line of 4 5 cases does say that they are bound by the judgment, Judge, 6 and I would --THE COURT: The cases that I have seen that you've 7 cited so far don't say anything about damages. 8 MR. BOCHETTO: They specifically don't have that 9 language in there, Judge, but I believe that if you look at 10 the holdings, particularly the Continental Insurance Company 11 12 case, if you look at the holdings --13 THE COURT: What does it say? MR. BOCHETTO: -- there could be no other 14 15 conclusion. THE COURT: Continental Insurance Company, where was 16 that decided? 17 MR. BOCHETTO: That is a --18 19 THE COURT: Superior Court? MR. BOCHETTO: -- Pennsylvania Superior Court 20 decision, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. MR. BOCHETTO: This is --23 THE COURT: That wasn't a patent case, was it? 24 25 MR. BOCHETTO: Well, this is a diversity action,

Judge, Pennsylvania law applies.

THE COURT: Pennsylvania law would apply with respect to the effect of a transaction that occurred in Illinois?

MR. BOCHETTO: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The validity of a UCC foreclosure in Illinois is governed by Pennsylvania law?

MR. BOCHETTO: Well, again, I don't think the validity, your Honor, is what's at issue here. What's at issue is that we have a Pennsylvania citizen, Vanguard, that was harmed by a company doing business in Pennsylvania, SSI. That company, Vanguard, sued here in Pennsylvania, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania --

THE COURT: I am aware of that.

MR. BOCHETTO: -- and is entitled to the protections of Pennsylvania law.

THE COURT: Oh, for heaven's sake.

MR. BOCHETTO: And, your Honor, given -- if you marry the <u>Continental</u> case and the <u>Chrysler</u> case with those judicial admissions that your Honor now has in front of you, I believe it is absolutely inescapable --

THE COURT: Wow.

MR. BOCHETTO: -- that --

THE COURT: Then you're going to win on appeal if I rule otherwise.

against --

2.2

THE COURT: You're not going to get a directed verdict, so okay?

MR. BOCHETTO: Well, let me just at least articulate who it would be against. It would be against Ron Goade, REG Acquisition Oklahoma and the Goade Trust, based upon this successor interest line of cases.

THE COURT: I understand your position.

MR. BOCHETTO: All right. Secondly, your Honor, with respect to your proposal that you're going to ask the jury for a finding as to when they should have likely known --

THE COURT: Yes, obviously -- it seems to me reasonably obvious that your fraud claim is barred by the statute of limitations.

MR. BOCHETTO: Here's why we believe that it's clear that it is not, your Honor, and the reason is is that the -- that cause of action for fraud did not ripen or mature until July of 2000, your-Honor --

THE COURT: Oh, that's silly.

MR. BOCHETTO: -- when judgment was entered, because that -- and it's then and only then, your Honor, when we would have had any damages. Up until that point, as your Honor has repeatedly pointed out, there was no -- there was no way of knowing if in fact 100-percent we were going to